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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Due to the efforts of Bert and associates, the method of differential quadrature is already well established in the technical and scientific literature [1-3]. Recently [4], the DQ technique was employed in the analysis of transverse vibrations of a thin rectangular plate subjected to a non-uniform stress field due to the presence of distributed loading of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y)=S\left(1-\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

applied to plate edges parallel to the $y$-axis. The components of the plane stress tensor are approximately known [5], and the governing vibrations partial differential equation was solved by means of the DQ method for several combinations of plate boundary conditions.

The present study deals with a numerical investigation of the relative accuracy of the DQ method by comparing the frequency coefficients obtained in the case of the structural system shown in Figure 1 with extensive numerical results obtained by Carnicer et al. [6]. This investigation used the Galerkin method with two different sets of co-ordinate functions (sinusoids and polynomials), and the finite elements technique to obtain the fundamental frequency coefficients of the structural element shown in Figure 1, in the case of simply supported edges.

## 2. APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

The general governing partial differential equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(\frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial \bar{x}^{4}}+2 \frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial \bar{x}^{2} \partial \bar{y}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial \bar{y}^{4}}\right)-\left(N_{x} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \bar{x}^{2}}+2 N_{x y} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \bar{x} \partial \bar{y}}+N_{y} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \bar{y}^{-2}}\right)-\rho h \omega^{2} W=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Rectangular plate subjected to a non-uniform stress field and executing transverse vibrations.

In the case of the system shown in Figure 1 one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{x}=N_{2}+\left(N_{1}-N_{2}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{y}}{b}\right), \quad N_{x y}=0, \quad N_{y}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (3) in equation (2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(\frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial \bar{x}^{4}}+2 \frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial \bar{x}^{2} \partial \bar{y}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial \bar{y}^{4}}\right)-\left(N_{2}+\left(N_{1}-N_{2}\right) \frac{\bar{y}}{b}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \bar{x}^{2}}-\rho h \omega^{2} W=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the dimensionless variables $\bar{x}=a x, \bar{y}=b y$, and defining

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & =\frac{a}{b}, \quad S_{1}=\frac{N_{1} a^{2}}{D}, & S_{2} & =\frac{N_{2} a^{2}}{D} \\
g(y) & =S_{2}+\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right) \frac{y}{b}, & \Omega^{2} & =\frac{\rho h a^{4}}{D} \omega^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2. Partition of the domain.

Table 1
Comparison of fundamental frequency coefficients in the case of a simply supported rectangular plate (Figure 1)

| $\lambda$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | DQ | Reference [6] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Polynomials | Fourier series | Finite elements |
| 2/3 | 25.0 | $25 \cdot 0$ | 21.213 | 21.218 | 21.213 | $21 \cdot 350$ |
|  | 25.0 | $12 \cdot 5$ | 19.684 | 19.711 | 19.682 | 19.823 |
|  | $25 \cdot 0$ | 0 | 17.976 | $18 \cdot 078$ | 17.973 | $18 \cdot 130$ |
|  | $25 \cdot 0$ | $-25 \cdot 0$ | 13.765 | 14.263 | 13.751 | 14.002 |
|  | 0 | $-25.0$ | 8.741 | 8.947 | 8.734 | 8.939 |
|  | $-12.5$ | $-25 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 163$ | $4 \cdot 284$ | $4 \cdot 157$ | $4 \cdot 447$ |
|  | $-25.0$ | $-25.0$ | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | 25.0 | $25 \cdot 0$ | $25 \cdot 227$ | $25 \cdot 234$ | 25.227 | 25.431 |
|  | 25.0 | $12 \cdot 5$ | 23.969 | 23.980 | 23.968 | $24 \cdot 175$ |
|  | 25.0 | 0 | 22.630 | 22.658 | 22.628 | $22 \cdot 844$ |
|  | $25 \cdot 0$ | $-25.0$ | 19.647 | 19.748 | 19.641 | 19.895 |
|  | 0 | $-25.0$ | 16.291 | $16 \cdot 328$ | $16 \cdot 288$ | 16.525 |
|  | $-12.5$ | $-25.0$ | 14.296 | 14.314 | 14.295 | 14.536 |
|  | $-25 \cdot 0$ | $-25.0$ | 11.955 | 11.967 | 11.954 | $12 \cdot 214$ |
| 2 | 25.0 | $25 \cdot 0$ | 51.751 | $51 \cdot 814$ | 51.788 | $53 \cdot 126$ |
|  | 25.0 | $12 \cdot 5$ | $51 \cdot 192$ | $51 \cdot 215$ | $51 \cdot 189$ | $52 \cdot 537$ |
|  | $25 \cdot 0$ | 0 | $50 \cdot 585$ | $50 \cdot 609$ | $50 \cdot 582$ | 51.942 |
|  | $25 \cdot 0$ | $-25.0$ | $49 \cdot 348$ | $49 \cdot 357$ | $49 \cdot 345$ | 50.729 |
|  | 0 | $-25 \cdot 0$ | 48.084 | $48 \cdot 100$ | 48.081 | 49.489 |
|  | $-12.5$ | $-25.0$ | 47.439 | $47 \cdot 464$ | $47 \cdot 436$ | $48 \cdot 857$ |
|  | $-25 \cdot 0$ | $-25.0$ | 46.785 | $46 \cdot 809$ | $46 \cdot 781$ | $48 \cdot 216$ |

equation (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial x^{4}}+2 \lambda^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+\lambda^{4} \frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial y^{4}}-g(y) \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}-\Omega^{2} W=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following references [1-3] the plate domain is partitioned, as shown in Figure 2. For all the situations considered, the number of nodal points in each direction was $N=9$.

Using the notation introduced by Bert and co-workers [1-3] one obtains, in the case of a simply supported rectangular plate,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k_{1}=2}^{N-1} D_{i k_{1}} W_{k_{1} j}+2 \lambda^{2} \sum_{k_{1}=2}^{N-1} \sum_{k_{2}=2}^{N-1} B_{i k_{1}} B_{j k_{2}} W_{k_{1} k_{2}}+\lambda^{4} \sum_{k_{2}=2}^{N-1} D_{j k_{2}} W_{i k_{2}} \\
-g_{j} \sum_{k_{1}=2}^{N-1} B_{i k_{1}} W_{k_{1} j}-\Omega^{2} W_{i j}=0 \\
(i, j=3, \ldots, N-2) ;
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_{1}=2}^{N-1} B_{2 k_{1}} W_{k_{1} j}=0, \\
& \sum_{k_{2}=2}^{N-1} B_{2 k_{2}} W_{i k_{2}}=0,(j=3, \ldots, N-1) ; \\
& \sum_{k_{1}=2}^{N-1} B_{(N-1) k_{1}} W_{k_{1} j}=0, \\
&(j=2, \ldots, N-2), \\
& \sum_{k_{2}=2}^{N-1} B_{(N-1) k_{2}} W_{i k_{2}}=0, \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Table 1 depicts values of the fundamental frequency coefficient $\Omega_{1}=\sqrt{\rho h / D}$ $\omega_{1} a^{2}$ obtained by means of the DQ method which can be compared with the results obtained by Carnicer et al. [6]. The comparison is performed for several combinations of values of $\lambda, S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. One observes for all the situations an excellent agreement between the results of the DQ method and those obtained by means of the Galerkin approach coupled with a double Fourier series [6] which are upper bounds with respect to the exact solution. The differences are, in general, less than $0 \cdot 1 \%$.

Table 2 shows values of $\Omega_{1}$ for different combinations of boundary conditions, and values of $\lambda, S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. The plate edges are defined in the table, starting from

Table 2
Values of fundamental frequency coefficients of the structural system shown in Figure 1 for different combinations of boundary conditions

|  | $\lambda$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{1}=25 \cdot 0 \\ & S_{2}=25 \cdot 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \cdot 0 \\ & 12 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \cdot 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \cdot 0 \\ -25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ -25 \cdot 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \cdot 5 \\ -25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -25 \cdot 0 \\ & -25 \cdot 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SS-C-SS-SS | 2/3 | $22 \cdot 122$ | 20.818 | 19.399 | 16.088 | 11.383 | 7.956 | - |
|  | 1 | 28.388 | $27 \cdot 407$ | $26 \cdot 386$ | $24 \cdot 197$ | 21-201 | 19.521 | 17.647 |
|  | 2 | 71.094 | 70.715 | 70.333 | 69.563 | $68 \cdot 557$ | $68 \cdot 048$ | 67.535 |
| C-C-SS-SS | 2/3 | 26.031 | 24.789 | $23 \cdot 446$ | $20 \cdot 362$ | $16 \cdot 315$ | 13.734 | $10 \cdot 415$ |
|  | 1 | 31.755 | 31.779 | 29.761 | 27.579 | $24 \cdot 625$ | 22.794 | $21 \cdot 167$ |
|  | 2 | $72 \cdot 958$ | 72.555 | $72 \cdot 149$ | 71.329 | $70 \cdot 256$ | 69.711 | $69 \cdot 160$ |
| SS-C-SS-C | 2/3 | 23.430 | 22.067 | $20 \cdot 596$ | $17 \cdot 222$ | 13.321 | $10 \cdot 809$ | $7 \cdot 450$ |
|  | 1 | $32 \cdot 949$ | 31.998 | 31.015 | 28.941 | 26.743 | $25 \cdot 568$ | 24.384 |
|  | 2 | $96 \cdot 574$ | 96.254 | 95.933 | $95 \cdot 287$ | 94.638 | 94.312 | 93.984 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{SS}$ | 2/3 | $31 \cdot 156$ | $30 \cdot 039$ | 28.847 | 26.491 | 22.063 | 21-089 | 18.967 |
|  | 1 | $36 \cdot 231$ | $35 \cdot 307$ | $34 \cdot 349$ | $32 \cdot 316$ | 29.638 | $28 \cdot 180$ | $26 \cdot 623$ |
|  | 2 | 75.424 | 75.002 | 74.576 | 73.716 | $72 \cdot 592$ | $72 \cdot 021$ | 71.444 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | 2/3 | $32 \cdot 123$ | $30 \cdot 929$ | $29 \cdot 664$ | 26.876 | 23.982 | $22 \cdot 342$ | $20 \cdot 530$ |
|  | 1 | 39.949 | 39.007 | 38.036 | 35.991 | $33 \cdot 845$ | 32.704 | 31.509 |
|  | 2 | 99.863 | 99.499 | 99.133 | 98.395 | 97.651 | 97.275 | 96.898 |

$x=0$ and following the plate contour in a counter-clockwise fashion [7]. For these combinations of boundary conditions no results are available in the open literature but judging from the excellent accuracy achieved in the case of simply supported edges, one hopefully expects at least good engineering accuracy in the case of the frequency coefficients contained in Table 2.
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